

Appendix E

Equalities and Human Rights Impact Assessment

MARKET HARBOROUGH TRANSPORT STUDY Draft Transport Strategy

Department of Environment and Transport

December 2017

Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA)

**Please note: The term 'policy' will be used throughout this assessment as shorthand for policy, practice, procedure, function or service.

Key Details					
Name of policy being assessed:	MARKET HARBOROUGH TRANSPORT STUDY- DRAFT TRANSPORT STRATEGY				
Department and section:	Environment and Transport - Highways				
Name of lead officer/ job title and others completing this assessment:	Mark Palfreyman Network Management Specialist, Strategy & Policy Department of Environment and Transport				
Contact telephone numbers:	0116 305 0001				
Name of officer/s responsible for implementing this policy:	n/a.				
Date EHRIA assessment started:	1st August 2016				
Date EHRIA assessment completed:	15 th Nov 2017				

Section 1: Defining the policy

Section 1: Defining the policy

You should begin this assessment by defining and outlining the scope of this policy. You should consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights, as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

1 What is new or changed in this policy? What has changed and why?

This EHRIA relates to the emerging recommendations arising from a transport study jointly funded by the County Council and Harborough District Council. The study considers the current and future condition and performance of the transport network in Market Harborough, taking into account planned and known future growth. The study will ensure that the County Council continues to deliver an efficient transport network and develop well planned infrastructure to support economic and population growth ambitions in the town..

2 Does this relate to any other policy within your department, the Council or with other partner organisations?

Reference should be made to the previous Equalities Impact Assessments prepared for delivery of LTP2 Schemes below £500,000 (March 2009) and greater than £500,000 (March 2009).

The policies which this Project relates to are those set out in the Local Transport Plan 3, which has an EIA in

http://www.leics.gov.uk/equality_impact_assessment.pdf (March 2011).

It also uses the design services of Engineering Design and their EIA can be found at http://www.leics.gov.uk/engineering_design_group_eia.doc

Current national guidance document for cycling is the Department for Transport's Local Transport Note 2/08 Cycle Infrastructure Design.

Who are the people/ groups (target groups) affected and what is the intended change or outcome for them?

No specific group is adversely affected or is targeted for change.

The recommended transport schemes to emerge from the study provide improvements that will assist the local economy and build sustainable, safe and healthy communities through reducing congestion on our roads and encouraging walking, cycling and the use of public transport.

Will this policy meet the Equality Act 2010 requirements to have due regard to the need to meet any of the following aspects?

	Yes	No	How?
Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation	Yes		Society works because people build relationships with others can only do this by being able to move around. In moderr society the need to travel is greater than it has ever. Society needs an effective sustainable transport network which
Advance equality of opportunity between different groups	Yes		allows everyone to conveniently access employment, education, shops, leisure, healthcare, culture and other essential facilities. Market forces do not provide a universal service; many people lack
Foster good relations between different groups	Yes		convenient access, especially those without a car, those living in deprived neighbourhoods, those living in rural areas, and those with caring responsibilities or personal mobility difficulties. Local provision of services encourages community building, but transport isolation and poor connectivity penalises people without a car. People need to be able to access good local services without needing to travel.

Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Screening

Secti			
	search and Consultation		
5.	Have the target groups been consulted about the following?	Yes	No*
	a) their current needs and aspirations and what is important to them:	Yes	
	important to them;		No
	 b) any potential impact of this change on them (positive and negative, intended and unintended); 		No
	c) potential barriers they may face		INO
6.	If the target groups have not been consulted directly, have representatives been consulted or research explored (e.g. Equality Mapping)?	n/a	
7.	Have other stakeholder groups/ secondary groups (e.g. carers of service users) been explored in terms of potential unintended impacts?		No
8.	*If you answered 'no' to the question above, please use the what consultation you are planning to undertake, or why yo be necessary.	•	
	The proposals are being designed taking account of curren	t national gu	idance.
	The next stage of the study is to seek agreement to underta and consultation exercise on the outcomes of the first phas develop a preferred transport strategy for the town. Key sta and the wider public will be consulted on the outcomes of the raised in response will be considered as part of finalising the and the recommended package of schemes.	e of study, in keholders, une study; an	order to ser groups y issues
	Moving forward, any impacts arising from construction active with systems will be in place to provide mitigation where reapproportionate.		

Secti B: M	ion 2 onitoring Impact		
9.	Are there systems set up to:	Yes	No

,	(positive and negative, intended l) for different groups;		No
b) enable open fed different commi	edback and suggestions from unities	Yes	

Section 2

C: Potential Impact

10. Use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any of the 'protected characteristics' may potentially be affected by this policy and describe any positive and negative impacts, including any barriers.

	Yes	No	Comments
Age	Yes		Improving access to key services by public transport, walking and cycling, and promoting social inclusion will benefit younger and older age groups. Older and young people may not have the same range of travel choices or be able to change behaviour. Improving facilities for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users may benefit some age groups. A focus on packages of measures and value for money may mean isolated improvements needed for different age groups don't happen. Fear of crime or harassment and safety concerns on public transport, cycle-ways and footpaths can be barriers to different age groups. Access to hospitals in the County by public transport can be difficult.
Disability	Yes		Corporate communications and marketing is to take account of the needs of all members of the
Gender Reassignment		No	community. Scheme consultation is to take
Marriage and Civil Partnership		No	account of the needs of all members of the community.
Pregnancy and Maternity			Ensure we have feedback from network users and the data to make better informed decisions.
Race		No	When developing measures to

Religion or Belief		No	promote and encourage
Sex		No	increased walking, cycling and public transport usage consider
Sexual Orientation		No	whether it might be appropriate to provide complementary measures to address people's fears/ perceptions of danger such as improved street lighting. Consultation may identify further issues to be addressed.
Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities			Society works because people build relationships with other people, and they can only do this by being able to move around. In modern society, where there are more opportunities and specialities than ever before, the need to travel is greater than it has ever
Community Cohesion	Yes		been. Society needs an effective sustainable transport network which allows everyone to conveniently access employment, education, shops, leisure, healthcare, culture and other essential facilities. Being unable to access transport affects earning ability, reduces access to life opportunities such as healthcare, education and training, and can lead in extreme cases to social isolation and mental illnesses such as anxiety and depression. Market forces do not provide a universal service; many people lack convenient access, especially those without a car, those living in deprived neighbourhoods, those living in rural areas, and those with caring responsibilities or personal mobility difficulties. Public policy has to make up for this market failure. Direct intervention can be a lifeline for isolated communities. Transport isolation and poor connectivity penalises people without a car. People need to be

				able to access good local services and ensuring transport provision protects vulnerable groups and reduces the barriers which inhibit people from using transport, such as fears about personal safety promotes this. Transport crime, the danger and unpleasantness of cycling on busy roads, and the perceived dangers of walking alone at night deter people from travelling. The project will aim to address many of these issues.
11.				affected by this proposal? Could
	there be an impact on human rig	hts for	any of	the protected characteristics?
		Yes	No	Comments
	Part 1: The Convention- Rights a	and Fre	edoms	3
	Article 2: Right to life	Yes		Where schemes within this project
				improved road safety, which
				reduces the potential for fatal road
				injury accidents there is a positive
				contribution from this project to the
				right to life
	Article 3: Right not to be		No	This project does not contribute to
	tortured or treated in an			the obligation to refrain from,
	inhuman or degrading way			intervene in, not exposing persons
				to or investigating in subjecting
				people to torture or to inhuman or
				degrading treatment or
				punishment.
	Article 4: Right not to be		No	This project does not contribute to
	subjected to slavery/ forced			the obligation to intervene to stop
	labour			slavery, servitude or forced or
				compulsory labour as soon as they
				become aware of it.
	Article 5: Right to liberty and	Yes		Transport isolation and poor
	security			connectivity penalises people
				without a car. People need to be
				able to access good local transport
				network to have the freedom to
				travel by a mode of their choosing.
				The project will assist vulnerable
				groups and reduces the barriers
				which inhibit people from using
				transport, such as fears about
				personal security.

			
Article 6: Right to a fair trial	Yes		Where any civil offence is
			introduced as part of a scheme
			which makes up this project, the
			Council's EIA on parking
			enforcement will be adhered to
Article 7: No punishment		No	This project does not contribute to
without law			the implementation or changes of
			laws.
Article 8: Right to respect for		No	This project does not contribute or
private and family life			interfere with a person's right to
			respect for their private and family
			life, their home and their
			correspondence.
Article 9: Right to freedom of		No	This project does not contribute or
thought, conscience and			interfere with a person's right to
religion			manifest their religion or belief.
Article 10: Right to freedom	Yes		During consultation on various
of expression			schemes which make up the
			project, there will be a right to
			freedom of expression. However,
			where such expression makes
			discriminatory comments, only
			those comments expressed which
			are relevant to the scheme are
			taken into consideration.
Article 11: Right to freedom		No	This project does not contribute or
of assembly and association			interfere with someone's freedom
			of peaceful assembly and
			association
Article 12: Right to marry		No	This project does not contribute or
			interfere with someone's right to
			marry or found a family.
Article 14: Right not to be		No	This project does not contribute to
discriminated against			discrimination on any ground or
			treat some people more favourably
			than others
Dant O. The First Ductoral			
Part 2: The First Protocol			
Article 1. Protection of	Vac		Where possible the Draiget will to
Article 1: Protection of	Yes		Where possible, the Project will try
property/ peaceful			to ensure that policies or decisions
enjoyment			do not interfere with peaceful
			enjoyment of possessions, restrict
			the use of possessions or take
			away possessions. However where compulsory purchase of
			land may be unavoidable, then the interference will be lawful and
Article 2: Right to education		No	necessary in the public interest. This project does not contribute to
willia / Rinni in Aniication			
Article 2. Right to education		No	securing the right to education not

				inte	erfere with	it.
	Article 3: Right to free elections		No	This project does not contribut interfere with the voting rights individuals, nor does it interfe with the right to vote or to use vote if they wish to do so.		ng rights of it interfere to use their
Secti D: De	on 2 ecision					
12.	Is there evidence or any other reason to suggest that:	Yes	5	No		Unknown
	a) this policy could have a different affect or adverse impact on any section of the community;		No – taking account of the proposals being developed with reference to current national guidance, and the proposed mitigation identified above.			
	b) any section of the community may face barriers in benefiting from the proposal		No			
13.	Based on the answers to the que	s to the questions above, what is the likely impact of this				
	No Impact Positive Impact	ct X Neutral Impact Negative Impact or Impact Unknown				
	։ If the decision is 'Negative Imր quired.	oact' o	r 'lm	pact Not Know	n' an EHR	IA Report
14.	Is an EHRIA report required?		,	Yes	N	No X

Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Report

As there are no adverse equality, diversity or human rights impacts identified in the EHRIA Screening exercise above, it is concluded that an EHRIA report is not required.

Section 4: Sign off and scrutiny Section 4 A: Sign Off and Scrutiny Confirm, as appropriate, which elements of the EHRIA have been completed and are required for sign off and scrutiny. Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening X Equality and Human Rights Assessment Report 1st Authorised Signature (EHRIA Lead Officer): Mark Palfreyman, Network Management Specialist, Strategy & Policy Department of Environment and Transport Date:21/11/17 2nd Authorised Signature (DEG Chair): Date:

